



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,854	11/25/2003	Bryan Severt Hallberg	KLR 7146.0180	8552
<div>55648 7590 06/19/2007</div> <div>KEVIN L. RUSSELL</div> <div>CHERNOFF, VILHAUER, MCCLUNG & STENZEL LLP</div> <div>1600 ODS TOWER</div> <div>601 SW SECOND AVENUE</div> <div>PORTLAND, OR 97204</div>				
			<div>EXAMINER</div> <div>WERNER, DAVID N</div>	
			<div>ART UNIT</div> <div>2621</div>	<div>PAPER NUMBER</div>
			<div>MAIL DATE</div> <div>06/19/2007</div>	<div>DELIVERY MODE</div> <div>PAPER</div>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p align="center">Office Action Summary</p>	<p>Application No.</p> <p align="center">10/722,854</p>	<p>Applicant(s)</p> <p align="center">HALLBERG ET AL.</p>	
	<p>Examiner</p> <p align="center">David N. Werner</p>	<p>Art Unit</p> <p align="center">2621</p>	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20040430, 20040629, 20061030</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is the First Action on the Merits for US Patent Application 10/722,854, which is a division of US Patent Application 09/465,415, now co-pending. Currently, claims 1-4 are pending.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it exceeds 150 words in length. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Double Patenting

3. Claims 1-4 of this application conflict with claims 1-4 of Application No. 10/684,276. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

4. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states, "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

5. Claims 1-4 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-4 of copending Application No. 10/684,276. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by US Patent 6,366,731 B1 (Na et al.). Na et al. teaches a system for transferring a program transport stream between a high-definition television and a VCR. Regarding claim 1, figure 3 shows an embodiment of Na et al. In a playback mode, VCR signal processor 205 processes audio/visual signals from a recording medium to an MPEG-2 TS packet stream. Header inserter/remover 204 then divides each packet to a block of 24 bytes, for a data block packet with a CIP header for an IEEE 1394 transfer (column 7, lines 38-47). Regarding step (a), "copying a digital video data block from a storage

Art Unit: 2621

medium", signal processor 205 processes signals from a tape into TS Packet format. Each packet corresponds with the claimed "data block". Regarding step (b), "extracting said data from said digital video data block, extra header inserter/remover divides each TS packet into an individual unit of size 24 bytes. Regarding step (c), "formatting said data in a format other than the format of said digital video data block", each 24-byte data block becomes the payload for an isochronous IEEE 1394 packet. Regarding claim 2, VCR 200 processes signals from a tape (column 7, line 39). Regarding claim 3, link layer 202 adds an isochronous header to each data block packet for the IEEE 1394 data transfer. Regarding claim 4, in step (a), the video was first formatted in a time-stamped MPEG2 transport stream format before converted to the isochronous IEEE-1394 format. Since the original signal was an ATSC high-definition signal, it has a constant bit rate (column 2, lines 4-6) and is hence also isochronous. Regarding step (b) of claim 4, as mentioned above, 24-byte block units are extracted from the TS stream.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patent 6,826,181 B1 (Higashida et al.) discloses a DIF packet transmission apparatus. International Application WO 97/11371 (Higuchi et al.) discloses a system that compresses video in multiple formats. "MPEG-2 Video Data Simulator" (Goswami et al.) teaches an MPEG-2 data stream transmitted in IEEE-1394 isochronous packets.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David N. Werner whose telephone number is (571) 272-9662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571) 272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DNW



THM Q. TRAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
BIOLOGY CENTER 2600